Hidden charm octet tetraquarks from a diquark-antidiquark model

Ruilin Zhu[1,2]
[1] *Department of Physics and Institute of Theoretical Physics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210023, China*
[2] *INPAC, Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, China*

arXiv:1607.02799v2 [hep-ph] 10 Aug 2016

Four exotic charmonium-like states, i.e. $X(4140)$, $X(4274)$, $X(4500)$, and $X(4700)$, have been observed very recently by LHCb Collaboration in the decay process $B^+ \to J/\psi\phi K^+$ using the 3fb^{-1} data of $p\bar{p}$ collision at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV. In this paper, we investigate systematically the properties of hidden charm tetraquark states. The hidden charm tetraquarks form an octet configuration and a singlet configuration according to flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry. Based on a diquark-antidiquark model, the hidden charm tetraquarks spectra are given. The previous XYZ exotic states altogether with the newly ones $X(4140)$, $X(4274)$, $X(4500)$, and $X(4700)$, can be well classified into certain representations. The spin-parities and masses of the XYZ are predicted, most of which are in agreement with the data. We particularly find that $Z_c(4430)$ may be treated as the first radial excitation of $Z_c(3900)$, while the $Y(1^{--})$ states can be obtained by the first orbital excitation of the X/Z states. Besides, we calculate the decay widths of the hidden charm tetraquarks into two charmed mesons. This work gives a general tetraquark description for the XYZ states, which is helpful to uncover their inner structures.

PACS numbers: 14.40.Rt, 12.39.Mk, 12.40.Yx

Keywords: Exotic state, tetraquark, diquark

I. INTRODUCTION

The exotic tetraquarks consisting of two quarks and two antiquarks are not ruled out in Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD). As the argument by Steven Weinberg, the Fourier transform of the connected part of the three-point Green function of the tetraquark and two ordinary mesons can contain a tetraquark pole, which gives a decay rate into two light ordinary mesons proportional to $1/N_c$ with color SU(3) group parameter N_c [1].

The history of the XYZ exotic states can be traced back to the discovery of $X(3872)$ by the Belle Collaboration in 2003 [2], which lies above the open charm threshold but has a very narrow decay width ($\Gamma < 1.2$MeV). Two years later, $Y(4260)$ with spin-parity quantum numbers 1^{--} was discovered in the process $e^+e^- \to \gamma(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi)$ by the BaBar Collaboration[3], which can decay to two charmed mesons. In 2007, the Belle Collaboration observed a charged hidden charm tetraquark state, i.e. $Z^+(4430)$ [4]. Through $Y(4260) \to \pi^-\pi^+ J/\psi$, the BESIII Collaboration discovered $Z_c^+(3900)$ in 2013 [5], which can decay into $J/\psi\pi^+$ through strong interactions, which implies that it shall be a meson with quark contents $c\bar{c}u\bar{d}$. Besides these remarkable exotic states, other XYZ mesons have been observed by different experiments over the past twelve years. The list of XYZ mesons has grown to about two dozen up to date.

Very recently, the LHCb collaboration has reported four $J/\psi\phi$ structures, i.e. $X(4140)$, $X(4274)$, $X(4500)$, and $X(4700)$ in the process $B^+ \to J/\psi\phi K^+$ using the 3fb^{-1} data of $p\bar{p}$ collision at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV [6, 7]. Each structure has the significance over 5 standard deviations. Their masses and decay widths have been determined as [6]

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{X(4140)} &= (4146.5 \pm 4.5^{+4.6}_{-2.8})\text{MeV}, \\
\Gamma_{X(4140)} &= (83 \pm 21^{+21}_{-14})\text{MeV}, \\
M_{X(4274)} &= (4273.3 \pm 8.3^{+17.2}_{-3.6})\text{MeV}, \\
\Gamma_{X(4274)} &= (56 \pm 11^{+8}_{-11})\text{MeV}, \\
M_{X(4500)} &= (4506 \pm 11^{+12}_{-15})\text{MeV}, \\
\Gamma_{X(4500)} &= (92 \pm 21^{+21}_{-20})\text{MeV}, \\
M_{X(4700)} &= (4704 \pm 10^{+14}_{-24})\text{MeV}, \\
\Gamma_{X(4700)} &= (120 \pm 31^{+42}_{-33})\text{MeV}.
\end{aligned}$$

The above results are obtained from naive $J/\psi\phi$ mass fits with a Breit-Wigner parametrization. The first errors are statistical, and the second errors are systematic. These four $J/\psi\phi$ structures have attracted many theorists' attention [8–13].

Many new interesting structures were discovered in the mass region of heavy quarkonium, which call for a general approach to understand and predict these XYZ states. A few general schemes are proposed, such as Born-Oppenheimer approximation [14] and compact tetraquark model [15], but the spectra are not given. For a general review of the XYZ states, see Refs. [16–19]. In

the past decades, hidden charm and bottom tetraquark states have been investigated in Refs. [20–36].

In this paper, we investigate systematically the mass spectra and decay widths of hidden charm tetraquarks in a diquark-antidiquark model. The hidden charm tetraquarks can be organized into octet and singlet representations in flavor SU(3) symmetry. We interpret the XYZ exotic states as tetraquarks, and study the possible inner relations among different XYZ states. According to these studies, we want to obtain a general description for the XYZ states altogether.

The paper is organized as the following. In Sec. II, we classify the hidden charm tetraquarks into octet and singlet representations in flavor SU(3) symmetry. In Sec. III, hidden charm octet and singlet tetraquarks spectra are calculated. The XYZ exotic states are interpreted as certain tetraquarks. In Sec. IV, we study the two-body charmed mesons decays of tetraquarks. We summarize and conclude in the end.

II. HIDDEN CHARM OCTET AND SINGLET TETRAQUARKS IN SU(3)

Hidden charm tetraquark states $X/Y/Z \sim [cq']\bar{q}\bar{c}$ with two light quarks, q and q', can be conventionally classified by flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry. Under the flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry, the three light quarks, $(u,\ d,\ s)$ form a triplet $\mathbf{3}$ representation and the charm quark c is a singlet [37–39]. Tetraquark states formed by two light quarks and a charm quark-antiquark pair can have the following irreducible representations

$$\mathbf{3}\otimes\bar{\mathbf{3}}=\mathbf{8}\oplus\mathbf{1}\ . \tag{1}$$

Hidden charm tetraquarks form an octet and a singlet. Different tetraquarks can be rearranged together. The octet tetraquarks can be expressed as

$$E^i_j=\begin{pmatrix} \frac{Z_c^0}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{X}{\sqrt{6}} & Z_c^+ & Z_{cs}^+ \\ Z_c^- & -\frac{Z_c^0}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{X}{\sqrt{6}} & Z_{cs}^0 \\ Z_{cs}^- & \bar{Z}_{cs}^0 & -2\frac{X}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where we adopt the conventional notation for the Z_c Isospin triplet, i.e. Z_c^0 and $Z_c^\pm$. Similarly, Z_{cs} is introduced to denote two Isospin doublets, i.e. Z_{cs}^0, $\bar{Z}_{cs}^0$, and $Z_{cs}^\pm$. X denotes an Isospin singlet here, which should be distinguished from the notation for a general exotic state X.

The orbitally excited tetraquarks also form an octet and a singlet. Considering the first orbital excitation between diquark and antidiquark in the tetraquark with $L=1$, the possible octet tetraquark states with spin-parity 1^- can be written as

$$E^*(1^-)^i_j=\begin{pmatrix} \frac{Z_c^{*0}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{X^*}{\sqrt{6}} & Z_c^{*+} & Z_{cs}^{*+} \\ Z_c^{*-} & -\frac{Z_c^{*0}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{X^*}{\sqrt{6}} & Z_{cs}^{*0} \\ Z_{cs}^{*-} & \bar{Z}_{cs}^{*0} & -2\frac{X^*}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

where the neutral tetraquarks Z_c^{*0} and X^* can have the definite charge-parity, thus their J^{PC} quantum numbers can be 1^{--} or 1^{-+}.

The SU(3) flavor singlet can be denoted as X' (X'^*). Unlike the η' where the gluonium content may exist [40, 41], we ignore the mixing effects from $[c\bar{c}gg]$ which is a state with charm quark-antiquark pair and two gluons for simplification [42, 43]. Other orbitally excited tetraquarks with different spin-parity will have a similar group representation decomposition.

The flavor components of tetraquarks in flavor SU(3) symmetry can be explicitly given as below

$$\begin{aligned} &Z_c^0=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u\bar{u}-d\bar{d})c\bar{c},\quad X=\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(u\bar{u}+d\bar{d}-2s\bar{s})c\bar{c},\\ &Z_c^+=u\bar{d}c\bar{c},\quad Z_c^-=d\bar{u}c\bar{c},\quad Z_{cs}^+=u\bar{s}c\bar{c},\\ &Z_{cs}^-=s\bar{u}c\bar{c},\quad Z_{cs}^0=d\bar{s}c\bar{c},\quad \bar{Z}_{cs}^0=s\bar{d}c\bar{c},\\ &X'=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(u\bar{u}+d\bar{d}+s\bar{s})c\bar{c}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The above classification for hidden charm tetraquarks into an octet and a singlet is much similar to the classification for conventional light mesons. Current experimental data also do support the evidence that the tetraquark is not alone, but has a lot of companions. These companions shall be organized into these two representations according to flavor SU(3) symmetry.

III. HIDDEN CHARM OCTET AND SINGLET TETRAQUARKS SPECTRA

The general QCD confining potential for the multiquarks are [44]

$$V(\vec{r}_i)=L(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2,\ldots)+\sum_{i>j} I\,\alpha_s S_{ij}\ , \tag{5}$$

where $L(\vec{r}_i)$ denotes the universal binding interaction of quarks, S_{ij} are two-body Coulomb and chromomagnetic interactions, and $I=-\frac{4}{3}$ and $-\frac{2}{3}$ represent the strength coefficient in the quark-antiquark and quark-quark cases, respectively. According to color $SU(3)$ symmetry, a quark-antiquark pair can be represented either by a singlet or octet in the decomposition of $\mathbf{3}\otimes\bar{\mathbf{3}}=\mathbf{1}\oplus\mathbf{8}$, and a diquark can be represented either by an antitriplet or sextet in the decomposition of $\mathbf{3}\otimes\mathbf{3}=\bar{\mathbf{3}}\oplus\mathbf{6}$. In the one-gluon-exchange model, the binding of the $q_1\bar{q}_2$ or q_1q_2 system depends solely on the quadratic Casimir $C_2(R)$ of the product color representation R to which

the quarks couple according to the discriminator $I = \frac{1}{2}(C_2(R) - C_2(R_1) - C_2(R_2))$, where R_i denotes the color representations of two quarks [15]. One can immediately obtain the strength coefficients $I = \frac{1}{6}(-8, -4, +2, +1)$ for $R = (\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{8})$, respectively. The color singlet of a quark-antiquark pair and the color antitriplet of the diquark are the two kinds of attractive representation.

The effective Hamiltonian includes three categories of interactions: spin-spin interactions of quarks in the diquark and antidiquark, and between them; spin-orbital interactions; orbit-orbital interactions. The explicit forms can be written as [22]:

$$H = m_\delta + m_{\delta'} + H^{\delta}_{SS} + H^{\bar{\delta}'}_{SS} + H^{\delta\bar{\delta}'}_{SS} + H_{SL} + H_{LL}, \tag{6}$$

with the interaction

$$\begin{aligned}
H^{\delta}_{SS} &= 2(\kappa_{cq'})_{\bar{3}}(\mathbf{S}_c \cdot \mathbf{S}_{q'}), \\
H^{\bar{\delta}'}_{SS} &= 2(\kappa_{c\bar{q}})_{\bar{3}}(\mathbf{S}_{\bar{c}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\bar{q}}), \\
H^{\delta\bar{\delta}'}_{SS} &= 2\kappa_{q'\bar{q}}(\mathbf{S}_{q'} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\bar{q}}) + 2\kappa_{c\bar{q}}(\mathbf{S}_c \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\bar{q}}) \\
&\quad + 2\kappa_{c\bar{q}'}(\mathbf{S}_{q'} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\bar{c}}) + 2\kappa_{c\bar{c}}(\mathbf{S}_c \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\bar{c}}), \\
H_{SL} &= 2A_\delta(\mathbf{S}_\delta \cdot \mathbf{L}) + 2A_{\bar{\delta}'}(\mathbf{S}_{\bar{\delta}'} \cdot \mathbf{L}), \\
H_{LL} &= B_{\delta\bar{\delta}'}\frac{L(L+1)}{2}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where m_δ and $m_{\delta'}$ are the constituent masses of the diquark $[cq']$ and the antidiquark $[\bar{q}\bar{c}]$, respectively. H^{δ}_{SS} and $H^{\bar{\delta}'}_{SS}$ denotes the spin-spin interaction inside the diquark and antidiquark, respectively. $H^{\delta\bar{\delta}'}_{SS}$ describes the spin-spin interaction of quarks between diquark and antidiquark. H_{SL} and H_{LL} are the spin-orbital and purely orbital terms. $\mathbf{S}_\delta$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\bar{\delta}'}$ correspond to the spin operators of diquark and antidiquark, respectively. The spin operators of light and heavy quarks are given by $\mathbf{S}_{q^{(\prime)}}$ and $\mathbf{S}_c$, respectively. $\mathbf{L}$ denotes the orbital angular momentum operator. The coefficients $\kappa_{q_1\bar{q}_2}$ and $(\kappa_{q_1q_2})_{\bar{3}}$ are the spin-spin couplings for a quark-antiquark pair and diquark in color antitriplet $\bar{\mathbf{3}}$, respectively; $A_{\delta(\bar{\delta}')}$ and $B_{\delta\bar{\delta}'}$ denote spin-orbit and orbit-orbit couplings, respectively.

The lowest-lying tetraquark states have vanishing orbital angular momenta, i.e. $L = 0$. There are two possible tetraquark configurations with the spin-parity $J^P = 0^+$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
|0_\delta, 0_{\bar{\delta}'}, 0_J\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}\big[(\uparrow)_c(\downarrow)_{q'} - (\downarrow)_c(\uparrow)_{q'}\big](\uparrow)_{\bar{q}}(\downarrow)_{\bar{c}} \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\big[(\uparrow)_c(\downarrow)_{q'} - (\downarrow)_c(\uparrow)_{q'}\big](\downarrow)_{\bar{q}}(\uparrow)_{\bar{c}}, \\
|1_\delta, 1_{\bar{\delta}'}, 0_J\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\big\{(\uparrow)_c(\uparrow)_{q'}(\downarrow)_{\bar{q}}(\downarrow)_{\bar{c}} + (\downarrow)_c(\downarrow)_{q'}(\uparrow)_{\bar{q}}(\uparrow)_{\bar{c}} \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\big[(\uparrow)_c(\downarrow)_{q'} + (\downarrow)_c(\uparrow)_{q'}\big](\uparrow)_{\bar{q}}(\downarrow)_{\bar{c}} \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\big[(\uparrow)_c(\downarrow)_{q'} + (\downarrow)_c(\uparrow)_{q'}\big](\downarrow)_{\bar{q}}(\uparrow)_{\bar{c}}\big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $|S_\delta, S_{\bar{\delta}'}, S_J\rangle$ stands for the tetraquark; the S_δ and $S_{\bar{\delta}'}$ denote the spin of diquark $[cq']$ and antidiquark $[\bar{q}\bar{c}]$, respectively, while the S_J denotes the total angular momentum of the tetraquark.

The base vectors defined in Eq. (8) are not the eigenvectors of the effective Hamiltonian in Eq. (6), thus the mass matrix is not diagonal. The splitting mass matrix for the $J^P = 0^+$ tetraquarks is

$$\Delta M(0^+) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3}{2}((\kappa_{cq'})_{\bar{3}} + (\kappa_{cq})_{\bar{3}}) & h_1 \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(\kappa_{c\bar{q}'} + \kappa_{c\bar{q}} - \kappa_{q'\bar{q}} - \kappa_{c\bar{c}}) & h_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(\kappa_{c\bar{q}'} + \kappa_{c\bar{q}} - \kappa_{q'\bar{q}} - \kappa_{c\bar{c}}), \\
h_2 &= \frac{1}{2}((\kappa_{cq'})_{\bar{3}} + (\kappa_{cq})_{\bar{3}} - 2\kappa_{q'\bar{q}} - 2\kappa_{c\bar{q}'} - 2\kappa_{q\bar{q}} - 2\kappa_{c\bar{c}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and the mass matrix is given as

$$M(J^P) = m_\delta + m_{\delta'} + \Delta M(J^P). \tag{11}$$

Diagonalizing the above mass matrix, one can easily obtain two different eigenvalues of masses.

For $J^P = 2^+$, there is only one tetraquark configuration

$$|1_\delta, 1_{\bar{\delta}'}, 2_J\rangle = (\uparrow)_c(\uparrow)_{q'}(\uparrow)_{\bar{q}}(\uparrow)_{\bar{c}}, \tag{12}$$

with the mass

$$\begin{aligned}
M(2^+) &= m_\delta + m_{\delta'} + \frac{1}{2}\left((\kappa_{cq'})_{\bar{3}} + (\kappa_{cq})_{\bar{3}}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left(\kappa_{c\bar{q}} + \kappa_{c\bar{c}} + \kappa_{q'\bar{q}} + \kappa_{c\bar{q}'}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

As for $J^P = 1^+$, there are three possible tetraquark configurations, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
|0_\delta, 1_{\bar{\delta}'}, 1_J\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big[(\uparrow)_c(\downarrow)_{q'} - (\downarrow)_c(\uparrow)_{q'}\big](\uparrow)_{\bar{q}}(\uparrow)_{\bar{c}}, \\
|1_\delta, 0_{\bar{\delta}'}, 1_J\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow)_c(\uparrow)_{q'}\big[(\uparrow)_{\bar{q}}(\downarrow)_{\bar{c}} - (\downarrow)_{\bar{q}}(\uparrow)_{\bar{c}}\big], \\
|1_\delta, 1_{\bar{\delta}'}, 1_J\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}\big\{(\uparrow)_c(\uparrow)_{q'}\big[(\uparrow)_{\bar{q}}(\downarrow)_{\bar{c}} + (\downarrow)_{\bar{q}}(\uparrow)_{\bar{c}}\big] \\
&\quad -\big[(\uparrow)_c(\downarrow)_{q'} + (\downarrow)_c(\uparrow)_{q'}\big](\uparrow)_{\bar{q}}(\uparrow)_{\bar{c}}\big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Notice that the tetraquarks with the quark content $[cq'][\bar{q}\bar{c}]$ where $q' \neq q$, do not have any definite charge parity and thus the above three 1^+ states can mix with each other.

Using the base vectors defined in Eq. (14), one can obtain the mass splitting matrix ΔM for $J^P = 1^+$

$$\Delta M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}((\kappa_{cq})_{\bar{3}} - 3(\kappa_{cq'})_{\bar{3}}) & \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_{c\bar{q'}} - \kappa_{q'\bar{q}} - \kappa_{c\bar{c}} + \kappa_{c\bar{q}}) & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\kappa_{c\bar{c}} - \kappa_{c\bar{q'}} - \kappa_{q'\bar{q}} + \kappa_{c\bar{q}}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_{c\bar{q'}} - \kappa_{q'\bar{q}} - \kappa_{c\bar{c}} + \kappa_{c\bar{q}}) & \frac{1}{2}((\kappa_{cq'})_{\bar{3}} - 3(\kappa_{cq})_{\bar{3}}) & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\kappa_{c\bar{q'}} - \kappa_{q'\bar{q}} + \kappa_{c\bar{c}} - \kappa_{c\bar{q}}) \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\kappa_{c\bar{c}} - \kappa_{c\bar{q'}} - \kappa_{q'\bar{q}} + \kappa_{c\bar{q}}) & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\kappa_{c\bar{q'}} - \kappa_{q'\bar{q}} + \kappa_{c\bar{c}} - \kappa_{c\bar{q}}) & \frac{1}{2}((\kappa_{cq'})_{\bar{3}} + (\kappa_{cq})_{\bar{3}} - \kappa_{c\bar{q'}} - \kappa_{q'\bar{q}} - \kappa_{c\bar{c}} - \kappa_{c\bar{q}}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{15}$$

In flavor SU(3) symmetry, all hidden charm tetraquark states with vanishing orbital angular momenta will have the identical mass. Considering the strange quark is different from the up and down quarks, we will obtain the hidden charm tetraquark masses, which are reasonable to explain the experimental data. In the paper, we adopt the quark masses as $m_q = 305\text{MeV}, m_s = 490\text{MeV}, m_c = 1.670\text{GeV}$ [22, 34, 45]. For the charmed diquark, we use $m_{cq} = 1.932\text{GeV}$ [45]. The diquark mass m_{cs} is estimated by the relation $m_{cs} \simeq m_c + m_s$. The spin-spin couplings are [22, 45]: $(\kappa_{cq})_{\bar{3}} = 22\text{MeV}$, $(\kappa_{cs})_{\bar{3}} = 25\text{MeV}$, $(\kappa_{q\bar{q}})_0 = 315\text{MeV}$, $(\kappa_{s\bar{q}})_0 = 195\text{MeV}$, $(\kappa_{s\bar{s}})_0 = 121\text{MeV}$, $(\kappa_{c\bar{q}})_0 = 70\text{MeV}$ and $(\kappa_{c\bar{s}})_0 = 72\text{MeV}$. The relation $\kappa_{ij} = \frac{1}{4}(\kappa_{ij})_0$ for the quark-antiquark state is employed, which is derived from one gluon exchange model. The spin-orbit coupling A_δ is estimated as 30 MeV and 5 MeV for c and b quarks, respectively; and the orbit-orbit coupling $B_{\delta\bar{\delta}'}$ is estimated as 278 MeV and 408 MeV for c and b quarks, respectively [22, 45]. For the radial excitation, $500 \sim 600\text{MeV}$ radial splitting is estimated reasonably from $\psi(2S)$ to J/ψ.

The spin of tetraquark states can be 0, 1 and 2, if there is no orbital excitation. The tetraquarks belonging to the same Isospin representation will have the identical mass due to Isospin symmetry. Their masses are estimated to be:

$$m(Z_c) = \begin{cases} 3.72\text{GeV}, 3.83\text{GeV}, & J^P = 0^+, \\ 3.75\text{GeV}, 3.87\text{GeV}, 3.88\text{GeV}, & J^P = 1^+, \\ 3.94\text{GeV}, & J^P = 2^+, \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

$$m(Z_{cs}) = \begin{cases} 4.00\text{GeV}, 4.04\text{GeV}, & J^P = 0^+, \\ 4.03\text{GeV}, 4.08\text{GeV}, 4.09\text{GeV}, & J^P = 1^+, \\ 4.17\text{GeV}, & J^P = 2^+, \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

$$m(X) = \begin{cases} 4.07\text{GeV}, 4.12\text{GeV}, & J^P = 0^+, \\ 4.11\text{GeV}, 4.16\text{GeV}, 4.17\text{GeV}, & J^P = 1^+, \\ 4.24\text{GeV}, & J^P = 2^+, \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

$$m(X') = \begin{cases} 3.90\text{GeV}, 4.00\text{GeV}, & J^P = 0^+, \\ 3.93\text{GeV}, 4.02\text{GeV}, & J^P = 1^+, \\ 4.09\text{GeV}, & J^P = 2^+. \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

Note that there are two tetraquarks have a very mass splitting around 4.02GeV for X' with spin-parity 1^+, and we only denote 4.02GeV once, which shall be interpreted to two tetraquarks around 4.02GeV.

Considering the orbitally excited tetraquarks with $L_{\delta\bar{\delta}'} = 1$, the spin of tetraquark states can be 0, 1, 2, and 3. We particularly focus on the 1^- tetraquark multiplet. We give the predictions for their masses:

$$m(Z_c^*(1^-)) = \begin{cases} 4.00\text{GeV}, 4.04\text{GeV}, 4.16\text{GeV}, \\ 4.22\text{GeV}, 4.24\text{GeV}, \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

$$m(Z_{cs}^*(1^-)) = \begin{cases} 4.27\text{GeV}, 4.31\text{GeV}, 4.37\text{GeV}, \\ 4.39\text{GeV}, 4.44\text{GeV}, 4.45\text{GeV}, \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

$$m(X^*(1^-)) = \begin{cases} 4.35\text{GeV}, 4.39\text{GeV}, 4.44\text{GeV}, \\ 4.46\text{GeV}, 4.51\text{GeV}, 4.53\text{GeV}, \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

$$m(X'^*(1^-)) = \begin{cases} 4.17\text{GeV}, 4.21\text{GeV}, 4.30\text{GeV}, \\ 4.31\text{GeV}, 4.36\text{GeV}, 4.38\text{GeV}. \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

Note that there are two tetraquarks have a very mass splitting around 4.16GeV for Z_c^* with spin-parity 1^-, and we only denote 4.16GeV once

There are a lot of XYZ states discovered by different experiments. Naive quark model where each meson is made of a quark-antiquark pair while each baryon is made of three quarks is not enough to explain these exotic structures. From Eq. (16) to (23), the mass spectra of hidden charm tetraquarks have been predicted, which may be used to explain the XYZ states.

In Tabs. I and II, we present these exotic XYZ states and also give tetraquark interpretations. We find that a tetraquark $Z_c(3870)$ with $J^P = 1^+$ may be used to explain the $Z_c(3900)$ state, while the radial excitation of tetraquark $Z_c(3870)$ may be used to explain the $Z(4430)$ state. $Z_c(4020)$ may be treated as a companion of $Z_c(3900)$, which is another $J^P = 1^+$ tetraquark from spin-spin splitting interactions. Since Z_c, Z_{cs}, and X form an octet representation in flavor SU(3) symmetry, it is reasonable to expect the existence of Z_{cs} states. However, there are no strong sign currently for the $J\psi K$ resonances. The best channels to hunting for Z_{cs} are the processes with final states $\psi(nS) + K^+ + K^-$, which will improve the significance and ovoid the interference from other kinds of resonances except the KK resonances. One may pay attention to the invariant mass distribution of $J\psi K$ in the decay $B_c^+ \to J/\psi + K^+ + K^- + \pi^+$ measured by the LHCb Collaboration [46], where the data have a different shape compared to the theoretical predictions and may indicate new $J\psi K$ resonances. A comprehensive analysis in both theoretical and experimental sides is needed for a more determinate conclusion.

$X(4140)$ and $X(4274)$ may be explained as $J^P = 1^+$

TABLE I: Interpretation of the spectra (MeV in unit) of the exotic X/Z states as the possible hidden charm tetraquarks with positive parity.

States	Exp.	States (Theo.)	J^P (Theo.)
X(3823)	3823.1 ± 1.9[47]	$X'(3900)$	0^+
X(3872)	3871.68 ± 0.17[2]	$X'(3930)$	1^+
$Z_c(3900)$	3891.2 ± 3.3[5]	$Z_c(3870)$	1^+
X(3940)	3942^{+9}_{-8}[48]	$X'(4000)$	0^+
$Z_c(4020)$	4022.9 ± 2.8[49]	$Z_c(3880)$	1^+
X(4140)	4156^{+29}_{-25}[50]	$X(4160)$	1^+
X(4274)	4293 ± 20[51]	$X(4170)$	1^+
$Z_2(4250)$	4248^{+185}_{-45}[52]	$Z_c(3720)(2S)$	0^+
$Z(4430)$	4458 ± 15[4]	$Z_c(3870)(2S)$	1^+
X(4500)	4506 ± 11[6]	$X'(3900)(2S)$	0^+
X(4700)	4704 ± 10[6]	$X(4120)(2S)$	0^+

TABLE II: Interpretation of the spectra (MeV in unit) of the exotic X/Y states as the possible hidden charm tetraquarks with negative parity.

States	Exp.	States (Theo.)	J^P (Theo.)
Y(4008)	4008^{+121}_{-49}[53]	$Z_c^{*0}(4000)$	1^{--}
Y(4260)	4263^{+8}_{-9} [3]	$Z_c^{*0}(4240)$	1^{--}
Y(4274)	4293 ± 20[51]	$X^{'*}(4300)$	1^{-+}
Y(4360)	4361 ± 13 [54]	$X^{'*}(4360)$	1^{--}
X(4630)	4634^{+9}_{-11}[55]	$X^*(4510)$	1^{--}
Y(4660)	4664 ± 12[56]	$X^*(4530)$	1^{--}

tetraquarks with quark content $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d} - 2s\bar{s})c\bar{c}$. $X(4500)$ may belong to flavor singlet in SU(3) symmetry, which can be explained as the radial excitation of $J^P = 0^+$ tetraquarks with quark content $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d} + s\bar{s})c\bar{c}$. $X(4700)$ may be explained as the radial excitation of $J^P = 0^+$ tetraquarks with quark content $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d} - 2s\bar{s})c\bar{c}$. $Y(4260)$ may be treated as $Z_c^{*0}(4240)$ tetraquark with $J^P = 1^{--}$.

IV. TETRAQUARK TWO-BODY DECAYS TO CHARMED MESONS

In the previous section, the XYZ exotic states around charmonium region observed by different experiments have been well classified into hidden charm octet or singlet tetraquarks. According to the possible interpretations of the XYZ states in Tabs. I and II, one can easily find that the predicted spin-parities are in agreement with the experimental data, and most of their masses are very close to the experimental values. In this section, we will phenomenologically discuss the tetraquark's decay modes, which will provide more internal information of the observed XYZ states, and also provide some channels to hunting for other hidden charm tetraquarks.

The hidden charm tetraquarks lie above the $D\bar{D}$ threshold, thus they can decay into two charmed mesons. The XYZ tetraquarks are denoted as $T_{c\bar{c}}$ for convenience. For the tetraquarks with positive parity, we have the two-body decay amplitudes

$$\mathcal{M}(T_{c\bar{c}}[0^+] \to D\bar{D}) = m_{T_{c\bar{c}}} F_{T_{c\bar{c}}[0^+]D\bar{D}}, \tag{24}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(T_{c\bar{c}}[0^+] \to D^*\bar{D}^*) = \varepsilon_{D^*,\mu}\varepsilon_{\bar{D}^*,\nu}(g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{P^\mu_{\bar{D}^*}P^\nu_{D^*}}{P_{D^*}\cdot P_{\bar{D}^*}}) m_{T_{c\bar{c}}} F_{T_{c\bar{c}}[0^+]D^*\bar{D}^*}, \tag{25}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(T_{c\bar{c}}[1^+] \to D\bar{D}^*) = \varepsilon_{T_{c\bar{c}},\mu}\varepsilon_{\bar{D}^*,\nu}(g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{P^\mu_{\bar{D}^*}P^\nu_{T_{c\bar{c}}}}{P_{T_{c\bar{c}}}\cdot P_{\bar{D}^*}}) \frac{m_{T_{c\bar{c}}} F_{T_{c\bar{c}}[1^+]D\bar{D}^*}}{\sqrt{3}}, \tag{26}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(T_{c\bar{c}}[2^+] \to D^*\bar{D}^*) = (-\frac{P^\beta_{\bar{D}^*}P^\nu_{D^*}\varepsilon^{\mu\beta}_{T_{c\bar{c}}}}{P_{D^*}\cdot P_{\bar{D}^*}} - \frac{P^\mu_{\bar{D}^*}P^\alpha_{D^*}\varepsilon^{\alpha\nu}_{T_{c\bar{c}}}}{P_{D^*}\cdot P_{\bar{D}^*}} + \frac{P^\mu_{\bar{D}^*}P^\nu_{D^*}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}_{T_{c\bar{c}}}P^\beta_{\bar{D}^*}P^\alpha_{D^*}}{(P_{D^*}\cdot P_{\bar{D}^*})^2} + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu}_{T_{c\bar{c}}}) \varepsilon_{D^*,\mu}\varepsilon_{\bar{D}^*,\nu}\frac{m_{T_{c\bar{c}}} F_{T_{c\bar{c}}[2^+]D^*\bar{D}^*}}{\sqrt{5}}, \tag{27}$$

where $F_{T_{c\bar{c}}D(D^*)\bar{D}(\bar{D}^*)}$ denotes the effective coupling to the tetraquark.

For the tetraquarks with $J^P = 1^{--}$, we have the two body decay amplitudes

$$\mathcal{M}(T_{c\bar{c}}[1^{--}] \to D\bar{D}) = \varepsilon_{T_{c\bar{c}}}\cdot(P_D - P_{\bar{D}})\frac{F_{T_{c\bar{c}}[1^{--}]D\bar{D}}}{\sqrt{3}}, \tag{28}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(T_{c\bar{c}}[1^{--}] \to D\bar{D}^*) = \varepsilon^\mu_{T_{c\bar{c}}}\varepsilon^\nu_{\bar{D}^*}P^\rho_D P^\sigma_{\bar{D}}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \frac{F_{T_{c\bar{c}}[1^{--}]D\bar{D}^*}}{\sqrt{3}m_{T_{c\bar{c}}}}, \tag{29}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(T_{c\bar{c}}[1^{--}] \to D^*\bar{D}^*) = \varepsilon_{T_{c\bar{c}},\mu}\varepsilon_{\bar{D}^*,\rho}\varepsilon_{D^*,\nu}\frac{F_{T_{c\bar{c}}[1^{--}]D^*\bar{D}^*}}{\sqrt{3}} (g^{\mu\rho}(-P_{T_{c\bar{c}}} - P_{\bar{D}^*})^\nu + g^{\mu\nu}P^\rho_{T_{c\bar{c}}}, + g^{\mu\nu}P^\rho_{D^*} + g^{\rho\nu}(P_{\bar{D}^*} - P_{D^*})^\mu). \tag{30}$$

The decay width of $T_{c\bar{c}} \to D(D^*)\bar{D}(\bar{D}^*)$ can be written as:

$$\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}} \to D(D^*) + \bar{D}(\bar{D}^*)) = \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{8\pi m^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}}}|\mathcal{M}|^2, \tag{31}$$

where

$$|\mathbf{p}| = \frac{\sqrt{\left(m^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}} - (m_1 - m_2)^2\right)\left(m^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}} - (m_1 + m_2)^2\right)}}{2m_{T_{c\bar{c}}}},$$

is the momentum modulus of final charmed meson in the tetraquark rest frame, and m_1 and m_2 are the masses of the final charmed mesons.

The corresponding ratios for the tetraquarks with positive parity are

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}[0^+]\to D\bar{D})}{F^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}[0^+]D\bar{D}}|\mathbf{p}|} &= \frac{1}{8\pi},\\
\frac{\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}[0^+]\to D^*\bar{D}^*)}{F^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}[0^+]D^*\bar{D}^*}|\mathbf{p}|} &= \frac{3m^4_{T_{c\bar{c}}}+8m^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}}|\mathbf{p}|^2+48|\mathbf{p}|^4}{8\pi(m^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}}+4|\mathbf{p}|^2)^2},\\
\frac{\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}[1^+]\to D\bar{D}^*)}{F^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}[1^+]D\bar{D}^*}|\mathbf{p}|} &= \frac{h_1}{12\pi(m^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}}+m^2_{D^*}-m^2_{D})^2},\\
\frac{\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}[2^+]\to D^*\bar{D}^*)}{F^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}[2^+]D^*\bar{D}^*}|\mathbf{p}|} &= \frac{m^8_{T_{c\bar{c}}}}{\pi(m^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}}+4|\mathbf{p}|^2)^2}(\frac{1}{8}+\frac{|\mathbf{p}|^2h_2}{15m^8_{T_{c\bar{c}}}}),
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1 &= m^4_{T_{c\bar{c}}}+4m^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}}m^2_{D^*}+m^4_{D^*}\\
&\quad -2m^2_D(m^2_{D^*}+m^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}})+m^4_D,\\
h_2 &= 15m^6_{T_{c\bar{c}}}+76m^4_{T_{c\bar{c}}}|\mathbf{p}|^2+176m^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}}|\mathbf{p}|^4+224|\mathbf{p}|^6.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

The similar ratios for the tetraquarks with $J^P=1^{--}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}[1^{--}]\to D\bar{D})}{F^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}[1^{--}]D\bar{D}}|\mathbf{p}|^3} &= \frac{1}{6\pi m^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}}},\\
\frac{\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}[1^{--}]\to D\bar{D}^*)}{F^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}[1^{--}]D\bar{D}^*}|\mathbf{p}^3} &= \frac{1}{12\pi m^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}}},\\
\frac{\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}[1^{--}]\to D^*\bar{D}^*)}{F^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}[1^{--}]D^*\bar{D}^*}|\mathbf{p}|^3} &= \frac{m^4_{T_{c\bar{c}}}-\frac{104}{9}m^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}}|\mathbf{p}|^2+\frac{48}{9}|\mathbf{p}|^4}{2\pi m^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}}(m^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}}-4|\mathbf{p}|^2)^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

One then easily find that the decay widths of 1^{--} tetraquarks to double charmed mesons is suppressed compared to that of the tetraquarks with positive parity to double charmed mesons. Take $D\bar{D}$ final states as an example, the suppression factor is

$$\frac{\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}[1^{--}]\to D\bar{D})}{\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}[0^+]\to D\bar{D})} \simeq \frac{4F^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}[1^{--}]D\bar{D}}|\mathbf{p}|^2}{3F^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}[0^+]D\bar{D}}m^2_{T_{c\bar{c}}}}, \tag{35}$$

The value of the first derivative of the radial wave function of the tetraquark at the origin can also be extracted from their leptonic pair decay

$$|R'_{\delta\delta'}(0)|^2=\frac{m^4_{Y_i}\Gamma(T_{c\bar{c}}[1^{--}]\to\ell^+\ell^-)}{24\alpha^2Q_i^2}, \tag{36}$$

where $|R'_{\delta\delta'}(0)|$ is the first derivative of the radial wave function at the origin, Q_i is defined as the effective charge of the diquark, where $Q_{[cu]}=4/3$ and $Q_{[cd]}=Q_{[cs]}=1/3$.

V. CONCLUSION

Dozens of the XYZ mesons have been discovered by different experiments up to date, which call for a deep understanding of the QCD spectrum. In this paper, we have studied the hidden charm octet and singlet tetraquarks in a diquark-antidiquark model. The mass spectra of hidden charm tetraquarks with different spin-parities are given. According to the flavor SU(3) symmetry, most of XYZ states may be well-organized into octet and singlet representations. The spin-parities and masses of the XYZ are predicted, most of which are in agreement with the data. We obtain a general tetraquark description for the XYZ states, i.e. octet and singlet representations. In order to improve the calculation accuracy, a full fit including all the hadron spectra data to the parameters is needed, which we leave in future studies.

We find that $Z(4430)$ may be treated as the radial excitation of $Z_c(3900)$, and $Z_c(4020)$ may be treated as a companion of $Z_c(3900)$, which is another $J^P=1^+$ tetraquark from spin-spin splitting interactions. Z_{cs} as the octet representation companions of $Z_c(3900)$, and it is reasonable to expect their existences. $X(4500)$ and $X(4700)$ may be explained as the radial excitation of $J^P=0^+$ tetraquark, where $X(4500)$ is a flavor singlet with quark content $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(u\bar{u}+d\bar{d}+s\bar{s})c\bar{c}$, while $X(4700)$ with quark content $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(u\bar{u}+d\bar{d}-2s\bar{s})c\bar{c}$. $Y(4260)$ may be treated as $Z_c^{*0}(4240)$ tetraquark with $J^P=1^{--}$.

Besides, the two-body hadronic decays of tetraquarks have been investigated, and we find that the decay widths of 1^{--} tetraquarks to double charmed mesons is suppressed compared to that of the tetraquarks with positive parity to double charmed mesons. Some relations among different XYZ states are established in the tetraquark scheme, which can be checked in future experiments.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11235005, by a key laboratory grant from the Office of Science and Technology, Shanghai Municipal Government (No. 11DZ2260700), by Shanghai Natural Science Foundation under Grant No.15ZR1423100.

[1] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 261601 (2013) [arXiv:1303.0342 [hep-ph]].
[2] S. K. Choi *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 262001 (2003) [hep-ex/0309032].
[3] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 142001 (2005) [hep-ex/0506081].
[4] S. K. Choi *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 142001 (2008) [arXiv:0708.1790 [hep-ex]].
[5] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 252001 (2013) [arXiv:1303.5949 [hep-ex]].
[6] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], arXiv:1606.07895 [hep-ex].
[7] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], arXiv:1606.07898 [hep-ex].
[8] H. X. Chen, E. L. Cui, W. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, arXiv:1606.03179 [hep-ph].
[9] Z. G. Wang, arXiv:1606.05872 [hep-ph].
[10] Z. G. Wang, arXiv:1607.00701 [hep-ph].
[11] A. Ali, I. Ahmed, M. J. Aslam and A. Rehman, arXiv:1607.00987 [hep-ph].
[12] X. H. Liu, arXiv:1607.01385 [hep-ph].
[13] L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa, V. Riquer, arXiv:1607.02405 [hep-ph].
[14] E. Braaten, C. Langmack and D. H. Smith, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 1, 014044 (2014) [arXiv:1402.0438 [hep-ph]].
[15] S. J. Brodsky, D. S. Hwang and R. F. Lebed, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, no. 11, 112001 (2014) [arXiv:1406.7281 [hep-ph]].
[16] N. Brambilla *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1534 (2011) [arXiv:1010.5827 [hep-ph]].
[17] K. A. Olive *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014).
[18] A. Esposito, A. L. Guerrieri, F. Piccinini, A. Pilloni and A. D. Polosa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **30**, 1530002 (2015) [arXiv:1411.5997 [hep-ph]].
[19] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rept. **639**, 1 (2016) [arXiv:1601.02092 [hep-ph]].
[20] Y. Q. Chen and X. Q. Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 232001 (2004) [hep-ph/0407062].
[21] H. Y. Cheng and W. S. Hou, Phys. Lett. B **566**, 193 (2003) [hep-ph/0305038].
[22] L. Maiani, F. Piccinini, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 014028 (2005) [hep-ph/0412098].
[23] A. Ali, C. Hambrock, I. Ahmed and M. J. Aslam, Phys. Lett. B **684**, 28 (2010) [arXiv:0911.2787 [hep-ph]].
[24] A. Ali, C. Hambrock and M. J. Aslam, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 162001 (2010) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 049903 (2011)] [arXiv:0912.5016 [hep-ph]].
[25] A. Ali, C. Hambrock and S. Mishima, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 092002 (2011) [arXiv:1011.4856 [hep-ph]].
[26] W. Chen and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 034010 (2011) [arXiv:1010.3397 [hep-ph]].
[27] A. Ali, C. Hambrock and W. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 054011 (2012) [arXiv:1110.1333 [hep-ph]].
[28] A. Ali, L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 1, 017502 (2015) [arXiv:1412.2049 [hep-ph]].
[29] X. W. Liu, H. W. Ke, Y. B. Ding and X. Q. Li, Chin. Phys. C **39**, no. 8, 083103 (2015) [arXiv:1409.5939 [hep-ph]].
[30] L. Maiani, F. Piccinini, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 114010 (2014) [arXiv:1405.1551 [hep-ph]].
[31] L. Ma, X. H. Liu, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 3, 034032 (2015) [arXiv:1406.6879 [hep-ph]].
[32] Z. G. Wang, arXiv:1601.05541 [hep-ph].
[33] R. F. Lebed and A. D. Polosa, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 9, 094024 (2016) [arXiv:1602.08421 [hep-ph]].
[34] W. Wang and R. Zhu, arXiv:1602.08806 [hep-ph].
[35] X. G. He, W. Wang and R. L. Zhu, arXiv:1606.00097 [hep-ph].
[36] X. G. He and P. Ko, arXiv:1603.02915 [hep-ph].
[37] D. Zeppenfeld, Z. Phys. C **8**, 77 (1981).
[38] L. L. Chau, H. Y. Cheng, W. K. Sze, H. Yao and B. Tseng, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 2176 (1991); **58**, 019902(E) (1998).
[39] M. Gronau, O. F. Hernandez, D. London and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 4529 (1994) [hep-ph/9404283].
[40] T. Muta and M. Z. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 054007 (2000) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.61.054007 [hep-ph/9909484].
[41] A. Ali and A. Y. Parkhomenko, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 074020 (2002) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.65.074020 [hep-ph/0012212].
[42] R. Zhu, JHEP **1509**, 166 (2015) [arXiv:1508.01445 [hep-ph]].
[43] R. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 7, 074017 (2015) [arXiv:1507.02031 [hep-ph]].
[44] A. De Rujula, H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 147 (1975).
[45] R. Zhu and C. F. Qiao, Phys. Lett. B **756**, 259 (2016) [arXiv:1510.08693 [hep-ph]].
[46] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], JHEP **1311**, 094 (2013) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2013)094 [arXiv:1309.0587 [hep-ex]].
[47] V. Bhardwaj *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, no. 3, 032001 (2013) [arXiv:1304.3975 [hep-ex]].
[48] P. Pakhlov *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 202001 (2008) [arXiv:0708.3812 [hep-ex]].
[49] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, no. 24, 242001 (2013) [arXiv:1309.1896 [hep-ex]].
[50] T. Aaltonen *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 242002 (2009) [arXiv:0903.2229 [hep-ex]].
[51] T. Aaltonen *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], arXiv:1101.6058 [hep-ex].
[52] R. Mizuk *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **78**, 072004 (2008) [arXiv:0806.4098 [hep-ex]].
[53] C. Z. Yuan *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 182004 (2007) [arXiv:0707.2541 [hep-ex]].
[54] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 212001 (2007) [hep-ex/0610057].
[55] G. Pakhlova *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 172001 (2008) [arXiv:0807.4458 [hep-ex]].
[56] X. L. Wang *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 142002 (2007) [arXiv:0707.3699 [hep-ex]].